Introduction
Annual reports are used by the Sections Committee to assess the health of a Section, measure the Section’s vitality, and identify processes, programs, or initiatives that could serve as a model for other Sections. In addition, they serve to provide institutional memory, socialize new Section leaders, and promote transparency to Section members.

This annual report covers the period of Section activity from September 2021 to August 2022 and a fiscal year from January 2022 to December 2022. This portion of the report will be shared publicly.

Section Governance
Provide details of your Section’s governance activity during the period between September 2021 and August 2022.

Business Meeting
Copy and paste below (or attach separately) the agenda and draft/approved meeting minutes from the Section business meeting which include a count of members present and summary of decisions made at this meeting. Minutes are not a transcript of proceedings, but a listing of what discussions took place and official actions taken.

See attached

Council Meeting
Copy and paste below (or attach separately) the agenda and draft/approved meeting minutes of all council meetings. Minutes must include a list of council members present and a summary of decisions made. Minutes are not a transcript of proceedings, but a listing of what discussions took place and official actions taken.

See attached

Awards
Provide a list of Section awards and awardees conferred in the past year.

The ASA Family Section Distinguished Career Award
Winner: Wendy Manning, Bowling Green State University

The ASA Family Section William J. Goode Book Award


The ASA Family Section Article of the Year Award

**The ASA Family Section Outstanding Graduate Student Paper Award**


**The ASA Family Section Linda Burton Award**

*Graduate Student Winners:* 1) Adriana Ponce, University of Michigan; 2) Haoming Song, Brown University; 3) Jun Zhou, University of Michigan

*Non-Student Winner:* Nazneen Khan, Randolph-Macon College

**2022 Finances**

Provide a narrative on how the 2022 budget matched with actual expenses and income from 2022. Please account for any substantive differences.

The 2022 budget differed from the proposed budget in a few small ways. Dues income was somewhat lower than anticipated. It is unclear why this is the case given that section membership has increased from the previous year. Anticipated “other income” is lower than expected but this seems to be due to the absence of donations for the section reception that may not have been processed as yet. The amount of expenses for the section reception is slightly higher than anticipated due to greater than expected turnout. Lastly, the section spent more on awards this year than anticipated due to increases in prices and a higher than average number of awards given (e.g., many co-winners and honorable mentions).

**The Previous Year**

Describe Section activities during the period between September 2021 and August 2022.

Provide an overview of the Section’s communications with its members and include explanation of how your communication strategy meets the goals and values of the Section. Include links to the section website, newsletters, and any other electronic media used.

For 2021-2022, the section continued to rely on three main forms of media communications: 1) a monthly newsletters distributed via email to the section’s listserv by our communications director, Daniela Urbina; 2) an independent website (www.asafamilysection.com) that is maintained by our webmaster, Joanna Pepin; and 3) social media (specifically tweets about section activities), which was also handled by Joanna Pepin.
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For 2022-2023 and beyond, these three lines of communication will be largely replaced by the section’s transition to using ASA Connect. The communications director and webmaster will both be replaced, as Daniela Urbina and Joanna Pepin step down.

Describe the Section’s diversity, equity, and inclusion goals. What steps were taken this year to achieve those goals?

The section formalized its Linda Burton Awards program, which offers travel awards to section members from underrepresented racial/ethnic minority groups to attend the annual ASA meeting and participate in the section’s activities. We held a second fundraising drive for this set of awards, which netted over $3,000 to allow four winners for the 2022 meeting and ensure that the awards are funded for several years in the future.

The section “donated” one of its allocated session slots for the 2022 meeting to a co-organized session with the Section on Racial and Ethnic Minorities, with an agreement to make this an annual occurrence.

The membership of the section voted on a new bylaw to make the ad hoc Mentoring and Inclusion committee a standing committee. This committee develops and organizes activities and programming to encourage mentoring of early career scholars, with an explicit mission to make the section more inclusive and supportive of diverse groups. It also organizes the annual mentor-mentee match for the annual meetings (as described below).

Representation was an explicit criteria in the populating of committees, session organizers, and other key roles.

Provide an overview of the section’s programming at the annual meeting and include explanation of how this programming meets the goals and values of the section (e.g. intellectual exchange, professional networking, mentoring, inclusion).

The section devoted three of its allocated sessions to member-generated themes, which were chosen to better incorporate member participation in agenda-setting and appeal to large constituencies of the membership. These sessions included:
- Families and Incarceration, organized by Angela Bruns and Patrice Collins
- Gender and Family Caregiving, organized by Cara Chiaraluce and Katrina Leup
- Gender, Sexuality, and Family Dynamics, organized by Léa Pessin and Jasmine Hill

Two co-sponsored sessions were organized to maintain strong ties with sections with shared membership and, in the case of the latter, to promote the section’s DEI goals.
- Family Patterns and Population Change, joint session for family section and population section, organized by Federica Querin and Jessica Su
- Race/Ethnicity, Socioeconomic Status, and Family Inequality, joint session for family section and racial and ethnic minorities section organized by Kelley Fong and Deadric Williams

Finally, the section held its roundtable session to offer a broader share of members the opportunity to present their work and participate in a section session:
- Family Section Roundtables, organized by Deniz Yucel and Katherine Tierney
Provide an overview of the Section’s programmatic activities outside of the Annual Meeting (e.g. webinars, networking events, mentoring initiatives, resources for dissemination) and include explanation of this programming meets the goals and values of the Section.

As noted above, the section’s ad hoc Membership and Inclusion committee continued its work in 2021-2022 and will become a standing committee for 2022-2023 after a successful bylaw vote. The committee members, led by chair Joan Mazelis, proposed a new slate of activities for 2022-2023 (e.g., a Dear Abby advice column), and it also ran the section’s annual mentor-mentee match program, which connects junior and senior members for one-on-one interactions. There were over 100 participants this year.

The other major ad hoc committee (Kevin Shafer, Karen Guzzo, and Daniel Carlson) continued its work on the possibility of a section-sponsored journal. After soliciting member feedback, the committee developed a strong proposal for a journal and, with the approval of the section’s council, submitted that proposal to ASA. ASA has undertaken the first phase of its exploration (i.e., working with publishing partners to assess feasibility and cost).
1. Rob Convenes Meeting. 27 people in attendance.
   a. Meeting opens 10 minutes late (round table session in same room went over)
   b. Rob describes meeting as a mechanism for recruiting for committees
   c. Reminds attendees of remaining sessions. Notes that sessions are the life blood of the section, participating is a good thing.

2. Rob: Asks attendees to propose themes for next year sections; notes that session themes come from the Google form that was sent around

3. Rob: Section Awards and Reception
   a. Not going to say who won awards – encourages attendees to come to the reception to honor awardees
   b. Recognizes the committee members; notes that few people decline for committees; people are generous
   c. Giving awards is fun. Please come to reception.

4. Rob: Report on Changes to Communication Team
   a. Big change; we’ve had stability in our communications team
   b. Both members have decided to move on; greatly appreciate them
   c. Lawrence Stacey will edit newsletter; looking for someone to replace Joanna for social media
   d. If interested please volunteer; involves two things – twitter account and website; website is easy.
   e. Joanna has graciously volunteered to tutor

5. Rob: Discussion of Committees
   a. Nominations committee; Jennifer Barber and Jessica Su chaired last year. Ellen Lamont will be chairing this upcoming year.
   b. Adhoc mentoring and inclusion committee; Joanie Mezellis chaired this year.
   c. Of all the people I gave people a choice, everyone chose this committee. Now it’s not adhoc. It’s a standing committee for the section moving forward.
   d. They did the mentor-mentee match. Other good ideas? They are doing a Dear Abby thing. Does dear Abby resonate with young people?
   e. Next year, some activities during the reception to help new people or young people make connections.

6. Rob: Discussion of fundraising activities of the section.
   a. Notes that we have the Linda Burton award. Pays for travel costs for travel to ASA for historical disadvantaged graduate students and junior scholars.
   b. We have actually raised $3600 dollars.
c. We can do this for multiple years; giving those out tomorrow night.

7. Rob: Ad hoc publications committee.
   b. They put together a proposal for a journal for our section like Society and Mental Health. Very persuasive case.
   c. Everyone thought this was a great idea. Though amount of money they thought they would have to pay in increased dues for the journal varied considerably.
   d. Proposal is now with ASA to determine the amount of the due needed.

8. Rob: Discussion of move to ASA Connect from old listserve
   a. Rob asks who has heard of ASA Connect?
   b. This is a sore subject says Rob.
   c. Describes the platform. Requires moderators - these are going to be the section leaders.
   d. We are going to do this at the last possible moment to give us time to prepare.
   e. We need content in order to go live. Encourages submissions.

9. Dan: Discusses section budget
   a. We are in good financial shape.
   b. Reception costs came in lower than anticipated due to weaker than normal in-person attendance of conference
   c. We had very generous amount of donations during our fundraising campaign

10. Rob: Section Membership
    a. membership is in good shape
    b. We can have 4 session next year; one is a joint session with race/ethnic minority section.

11. Rob: Transitions
    a. Welcome Jessica Hardee will be the new incoming section chair; Karen Guzzo is now on the council; Emma Bosely-Smith is the new student representative
    b. Rin Rezeck and Jessica Calarco are transitioning out of their positions on council; Daniella Urbina – most reliable person in our section is moving on.
    c. Holdovers, Rob as past chair. Kei is the new Chair. Dan remains secretary
    d. Discusses additional people who are staying on.

12. Rob: Next Year’s Annual Meeting
    a. Next meeting is in Philly
    b. One of the hardest thing for chair is planning the reception.
    c. Don’t know things about city. Philly people Kei needs you; needs your suggestions.

13. Kei: Introduction of Incoming Chair
    a. So nice to see you all today – in-person; I wasn’t sure what to expect coming back to an in-person conference, but things seem to be going well
b. Though there are few presenters we are doing well as a section.

c. I am so excited to be chair.

d. I’d like to thank Rob Crosnoe for the work you did (applause). Thanks to Dan, I
appreciate your help as I transition into this role. Thanks council members.

e. In the past few years, there have been exciting things in the section – partnership with
R/E minority section; maintaining relationship with population section; establish Linda
Burton travel award; started inclusion committee; ad hoc publication committee

f. Really exciting time for section, we need to keep momentum going, encourage
members participation. Communications team needed. Transition to ASA connect. Look
forward to working with you.

14. Rob: Concluding Remarks

a. Last 2 things – reception is tomorrow night. 630 Sheraton Grand Hotel 3rd floor outdoor
deck. Supposed to be pretty nice. Gonna present awards.

b. Lastly, please reach out if you are interested in volunteering. Ask me or Kei. Really need
input for session themes next year. Thank you for all you do. One of the most engaged
section in ASA. We want to maintain that. Thank you.
Present: Robert Crosnoe; Kei Nomaguchi; Dan Carlson; Jessica Hardie; Jennifer Hook; Ellen Lamont; Lawrence Stacey; Jennifer Barber, Karen Guzzo

Absent: Emma Bosley-Smith, Jessica Calarco, Sarah Halpern-Meekin, Krystale Littlejohn, Rin Reczek, Christine Schwartz

Meeting started 10 minutes late.

1. Rob: Welcome
   a. brings meeting to order
   b. Welcome new people – Krystale Little-John (not here), Emma Besley-Smith (not here); Karen Guzzo
   c. Thank outgoing members—Christine (not here), Rin (not here), and Jess Calarco (not here).

2. Rob: Review Minutes from Last Meeting
   a. Look at minutes; seem thorough;
   b. Jennifer Hook moves to approve; Kei second; all favored to approve minutes

3. Rob: Section Membership
   a. Section membership went up; we were under 600 members but there was a covid bonus last year
   b. ASA used 2019 levels to determine number of sessions this year, now going back normal way
   c. We are at 607 members; we should have 4 sessions next year
   d. We donate a joint session to race/ethnic minorities – now a tradition
   e. Joint session with population section rotates. In 2023 it will count toward our total; our turn to reciprocate; next year make decision if we want to keep this arrangement. We get to pick the topic of the joint session; we get suggestions at business meeting we go with that; we will again ask people for suggestions

4. Rob: Committee membership
   a. Nominations committee; need to have 5 people, we need to pick; 1 council member chairs; last year it was Jennifer Barber
      i. Ellen Lamont volunteers to chair; need 4 other people. We can talk and then vote later; we did this last year.
      ii. Names that have already been suggested: Jaclyn Wong; Dedric Williams; Fenaba Addo; Allison Daminger; Regina Baker; Catrina Love; Christen Munch; Pilar Gonalons-Pons; Daniella Urbina; Jasmine Hill; Chang; Margolis; Choi; Santos; Ishizuka; Kornrich; Lea Pessin; Leupp
      iii. Karen Guzzo raises point of order to clarify how nominations committee is determined
iv. Rob says we decide on list but they need to agree; we can talk about names; then poll to agree on who to contact.

v. Discussion of possible candidates; may not want to ask Dedric – does a lot (Karen); Rob said he will send around poll

b. Goode Book Award Committee
   i. Rob will chair as is the common practice (past chair); need 2 members for council; perhaps pick someone who is not here; we will come back to this.

c. Distinguished Career Award
   i. All committee members appointed by section chair; 2 council members; Jen Hook volunteers to chair.
   ii. Kei says difficulty is getting nominations for this award; Rob says usually get 1 or 2; need to do a better job getting nominations; Jennifer Hook says we got 7-8 nominations for distinguished career for 2022; now a lot easier to make a nomination.
   iii. Chair-elect is the second member of the committee; Emma is the new student member will serve on committee; still need 2 more people; Kei will pick

d. Article of Year Award
   i. 5 members; 2-year term; 4 people staying on; Sarah Halpern-Meekin is going to be the section representative; Kei will have to pick remainder

e. Grad Student Paper Award
   i. Chaired by section chair; lots of work says Rob; need to do it in stages; hard to send emails out at end saying didn’t get it; but they are sweet about it.
   ii. Rob asks Jennifer Barber to join him on Goode Book award; Jennifer Barber agrees

5. Dan: Budget
   a. Section is in good financial shape
   b. Fundraising is going really well. Have large donations for the Linda Burtn travel Award (from members) as well as for the section reception from Sociology Department and Population Center at the University of Texas, Austin. (See #6 for more discussion on Linda Burtn Award fundraising.)
   c. Section reception (jointly held with the Population section) cost ($2,375) is lower than usual because Rob and Dan estimated attendance fewer than usual due to the ongoing Covid (100 people). (Typically it costs about $4,000 for 150 people.)
   d. Cost of award plaques much higher than normal → prices went up by 50%; many co-winners and honorable mentions (14 plaques); we are mailing them to Europe for the members who were unable to travel to attend the meeting (see #7 for more discussion on plaques).
   e. This coming year’s expenses will largely depend on whether the conference will be in person or online.

6. Rob on Linda Burtn Award:
   a. We have enough funds to offer it for a few more years. The deadline for donation is the end of this month (August 2022); we might raise a bit more by then. Rob says that we need to decide whether and how we will continue this award. The Council members agreed that we would like to continue it.
b. Some discussion on how to raise funds: We could ask for donation during the section reception (Karen, Jennifer B., Rob). Other sections used to ask the participants to leave some cash. Nobody has cash with them anymore, but we may be able to do it using Venmo.

7. Dan: Discussion about plaques—Do we need to give plaques to honorable mentions?
   a. Rob says maybe nothing for honorable mentions.
   b. Jennifer Barber and Karen wonder whether we would need to mail plaques to Europe. Jennifer Barber suggests that we tell each award committee to limit awards to two (including honorable mention or co-winner).
   c. Jessica Hardie recommends asking people if they want or don’t want a plaque to let us know.
   d. Rob says that graduate student paper award gets many submissions, so it would be nice to announce via email to those who made the final round, but there is no need to create certificates for them.
   e. Ellen Lamont asks if we can just give them a certificate. Dan responds that certificates are also expensive if given a wooden frame, but just a paper certificate would be substantially cheaper.

8. Rob: Communications Committee
   a. Need to replace Daniella and Joanna on Communications Committee.
   b. Inquires if Lawrence is indeed going to take on the newsletter; Lawrence says he will.
   c. Rob discusses what the job entails; Jen (Barber?) asks how often it goes out.
   d. Rob says it goes out like clockwork 17th. Daniella was really on top of it.
   e. Social media liaison is in charge of the website; Twitter account; Rob asks if we have a Facebook account?; Jen says we used to.
   f. Rob says we need someone for Social Media Liaison; we have a few suggestions; 4 nominations; Kevin Shafer came up a lot; Wong; Zhang; Ophir.
   g. Jess says person should be savvy on Twitter; Rob and other attendees note that all are; Karen notes that Kevin might be grad chair; maybe not ask him; Maybe ask Jaclyn then maybe Kevin (Rob). Ellen volunteers to ask Jaclyn.

9. Rob: Reports from Distinguished Career Award committee
   a. Rin Rezcek said there was inequality in materials provided for nominations since removing requirements; Jennifer Hook says only so much you can get from a CV; letter is helpful; longest letter is a page, so doesn’t seem like a ton of effort.
   b. Jennifer Barber says maybe develop a form.
   c. Rob says 2 stages; CV with name; then pick people to get a letter for; Ask nominator for letter; moved to this new model to get more submissions; could become a problem.
   d. What is the bigger problem inequality in packets or not getting nominations?
   e. Jennifer Hook says ask for statement inquiring about things we could not glean from CV.
   f. Rob talks about Google Forms and his struggles with it and how people were encouraging. Say use Google Forms for encouraging nominations; Jennifer Hook says let’s think about it; reiterates previous idea.

10. Rob: Two more things
    a. Section Sponsored Journal – where are we with that?
b. Dan: Proposal has been submitted to Karen Edwards and SAGE and they are doing a feasibility assessment. Biggest issue that came up during the process was the dues increase. Amount is unclear. Also unclear how much members would be willing to pay. Lots of variability in

c. List serve and ASA Connect
   i. New system is going to be like PAA; we will still get emails; there is an email function; members need to sign up; it’s like a digest; Rob could not be more upset with the training we have to get; 2 training sessions; one is recorded; next one is live; we picked the last possible date; end of Sept.
   d. Discussion of unhappiness with this new format; if anyone has ideas.

End meeting; Thank you everyone.