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Independent Auditor’s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial
Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Council
The American Sociological Association
Washington, D.C.

We have audited the financial statements of the American Sociological Association (the Association) as of and for
the year ended December 31, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated April 7, 2006. We conducted our
audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards
applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the
United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting
In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Association’s internal control over financial reporting in
order to determine our audit procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and
not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter
involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition.
Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or
operation of the internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the
Association’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data consistent with the assertions of
management in the financial statements. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying schedule of
findings and responses as item 2005-1.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control
components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts
that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a
timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the
internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be
reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also
considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe the reportable condition described above is not a
material weakness. We also noted certain matters that we have reported to management of the Association in a
separate letter dated April 7, 2006.

Compliance And Other Matters
As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Association’s financial statements are free of material
misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grants
agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial
statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our
audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of
noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.
This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Council, management and Federal awarding agencies, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Bethesda, Maryland
April 7, 2006
McGladrey & Pullen
Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance With Requirements
Applicable To Each Major Program And Internal Control Over
Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133

To the Council
The American Sociological Association
Washington, D.C.

Compliance
We have audited the compliance of the American Sociological Association (the Association) with the types of compliance requirements described in the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement that are applicable to each of its major Federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2005. The Association's major Federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major Federal programs is the responsibility of the Association's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Association's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether non-compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Association's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Association's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the American Sociological Association complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to the major Federal program for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Internal Control Over Compliance
The management of the Association is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to Federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Association's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major Federal program, in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance, and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

McGladrey & Pullen, LLP is a member firm of RSM International, an affiliation of separate and independent legal entities.
Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition or material weaknesses.

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards**

We have audited the basic financial statements of the American Sociological Association as of and for the year ended December 31, 2005, and have issued our report thereon dated April 7, 2006. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming an opinion on the basic financial statements taken as a whole. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133, and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Council, management and Federal awarding agencies, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

**McShadery & Pullen, LLP**

Bethesda, Maryland
April 7, 2006
### The American Sociological Association

**Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards**

**Year Ended December 31, 2005**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Grantor/Program Title</th>
<th>Federal CFDA Number</th>
<th>Contract Number</th>
<th>Federal Expenditures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Department of Health and Human Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Institute of Mental Health:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental Health National Research</td>
<td>93.282</td>
<td>5-T32MH15722</td>
<td>$ 594,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Awards for Research Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National Science Foundation:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social, Behavioral, and Economic Sciences</td>
<td>47.075</td>
<td>SES-0417832</td>
<td>68,580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47.075</td>
<td>SES-0004488</td>
<td>18,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>47.075</td>
<td>SES-0004488</td>
<td>7,925</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social Dynamics of Campus Change</strong></td>
<td>47.075</td>
<td></td>
<td>95,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education and Human Resources</strong></td>
<td>47.076</td>
<td>DUE-0089006</td>
<td>4,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAE-7388-05-001</td>
<td>$ 693,768</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*See Note To Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards.*
The American Sociological Association

Note To Schedule Of Expenditures Of Federal Awards

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the Federal grant activity of the Association and is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information on this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local, and Non-Profit Organizations. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in, the preparation of the basic financial statements.
The American Sociological Association

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs
Year Ended December 31, 2005

SECTION I. SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS

Financial Statements:

Type of auditor’s report issued: Unqualified

Internal control over financial reporting:
- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No
- Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? Yes None reported
- Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes No

Federal Awards:

Internal control over major programs:
- Material weakness(es) identified? Yes No
- Reportable condition(s) identified that are not considered to be material weakness(es)? Yes None reported

Type of auditor’s report issued on compliance for major programs:

Identification of major programs:

CFDA Number(s)
93.282

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:
$ 300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?
Yes No
The American Sociological Association

Schedule Of Findings And Questioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended December 31, 2005

SECTION II. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Reportable Conditions

Finding 2005-1: Payroll Administration

Criteria: In a proper system of internal control, outsourced payroll information returned from a service organization would be subject to review and approval by someone other than the preparer.

Condition and cause: Due to the small number of staff employed by the Association, a proper system of segregation of duties is not possible in all respects. Accordingly, payroll reports prepared by the Controller were not reviewed or approved upon return from outsourced service organization.

Effect: The effect of this condition is that fraudulent activity may occur and not be readily detected by others in the performance of their assigned duties.

Recommendation: We recommend that the Executive Officer review the payroll information when returned by the service organization before it is then returned to the original preparer.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:
The Association will implement a process whereby the payroll information returned by service organization is reviewed by the Executive Officer before being returned to the preparer.

SECTION III. FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None reported
Finding 2004-2: Segregation of Duties

Condition and cause: Due to the small number of staff employed by the Association, a proper system of segregation of duties is not possible in all respects. Accordingly, journal entries prepared by the Controller were not approved.

Views of Responsible Officials and Planned Corrective Actions:
The Association has implemented a regular process whereby journal entries prepared by the Controller will be reviewed and approved by the Executive Officer.